

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,052	06/07/2002		Klaus Hamprecht	WWELL59.001APC	5162	
20995	7590	08/17/2005		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE I		NS OLSON & I	BECKER, DREW E			
FOURTEEN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614				1761		

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/018,052	JAHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E. Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ne 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-95</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-95</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	.	DTO 440)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) 🗀 Oiner:					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,052

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 72-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitaker et al [Pat. No. 2,388,103] in view of Budzyna et al [Pat. No. 5,154,940]. Whitaker et al teach a method and device for treating milk including rotating a milk container at about 50-200 rpm (page 2, column 2, line 9), the milk inherently forming film on the inner wall due to the container not being filled (page 1, column 2, line 48), heating the milk while it rotates with a first heat source for 3-5 minutes (page 2, column 1, lines 20-30 & 71), heating the milk with a second heat source (page 2, column 2, line 56), a source of cooling water at 60°F for about 4 minutes (page 2, column 2, line 61), a chamber with an opening (Figure 1, #10), the container being a glass flask (Figure 1. #23), and a receptacle (Figure 1, #22). Whitaker et al do not recite the first heat source being hot air, the second heat source being ambient air, the second time being less than 15 seconds, and a temperature probe. Budzyna et al teach a method and device for treating food in containers by heating the containers for a first time period with hot air (column 7, lines 35-57), heating the containers for a second period with ambient air for 5 seconds (column 12, lines 4-15), and temperature probes (Figures 1 & 3, #29 & 106). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the hot air,

Art-Unit: 1761

ambient air, and temperature probes of Budzyna et al into the invention of Whitaker et al since both are directed to methods of heating foods in rotating containers, since Whitaker et al already included steam heating which is a mixture of heater water and air (page 2, column 1, line 73), since Whitaker et al already included secondary and even tertiary heating steps (page 2, column 2, lines 52-66), since the hot and ambient air of Budzyna et al would have eliminated the need for water lines and pressurized air that were needed to create the steam of Whitaker et al, and since the temperature probes of Budzyna et al provided an effective means for controlling heating and cooling of the container (column 11, lines 16-37).

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dumm [Pat. No. 6,276,264] teach a method and device for heating milk in a container.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 72-95 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 DREW BECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

RIMARY EXAMINA